

We all have to choose

The Bible is a record of God's creation and His intervention in the affairs of mankind. Modern science attempts to give a naturalistic explanation for all that exists, which means it must exclude any divine intervention. Therefore, the two approaches are complete opposites. Here are just a few of the differences:

1. The bible tells us that God created a perfect world, but it is now in a fallen state because of sin. Evolutionists believe the world was messed up long before man appeared. Their interpretation of the fossil record means there was violence, suffering, disease, and death for 540 million years before man came along.
2. The bible says there was a global flood. Evolutionists will only acknowledge local catastrophes.
3. The bible account from beginning to end is a record of how God intervened in the history of mankind in various ways. Evolutionists cannot give any credence to miracles.

Do you think any prophet or biblical writer rejected the idea of a global flood or the countless times God had intervened in Jewish history? Do you think Jesus would have kept quiet if the religious leaders of his day had rejected the creation account and abandoned the doctrine found in that account? Any Christian who says there is no conflict between science and religion doesn't understand the Christian faith or the Theory of Evolution or they are a liar. We all have to choose one or the other. One cannot sit on the fence and pretend all is well.

Jim Levick