

## The fossil record

Darwinian evolution is based on gradualism. Gradualism means you should be able to pick up small differences over time and then have a picture from primitive to advanced in the fossil record. But if you look at the fossil record you don't see gradualism. When a turtle appears in the fossil record it is a turtle. We don't find a fourth of a turtle. a third of a turtle or half a turtle. The same is true of lizards, frogs, fish, etc.

The idea of transitional forms continues to be taught, but Paleontologists who are leaders in their field openly admit there is no such thing as a missing link. The late Dr. Colin Patterson wrote the following to Luther Sunderland, "I fully agree with your comment on the lack of evolutionary transitions in my book. If I knew of any, fossil or living, I would certainly have included them. I will lay it on the line - there is not one such fossil."

When Dr. Patterson was asked about his comment above, he said that it had been taken out of context. However, his explanation just doesn't jive with the facts. The context of his remarks came from the question that Sutherland had posed to him; "Why didn't you show even one single picture of any proposed transitional form anywhere in your book".

Transitional forms do not exist in the fossil record because it was laid down about 5000 years ago during the global flood.

Jim Levick