No transitional fossils

Evolutionists often accuse creationists of taking their quotes out of context. Let's look at a well-known case in which they claim this has happened and see if their complaint is justified.

Creationists have often quoted the late Dr. Gould concerning the lack of transitional fossils. In response, evolutionists reply by saying that Dr. Gould himself has responded to this issue saying that creationists are either "stupid or deliberately trying to mislead the public".

The late Dr. Colin Patterson, formerly the senior paleontologist at the British Museum of Natural History wrote a letter to Luther Sutherland in which he said, "**Gould and the American Museum people are hard to contradict when they say there are no transitional fossils"**. Oops! Dr. Patterson, a well-known and respected evolutionist confirmed what creationists had long suspected. Publicly, Dr. Gould and the American Museum people say one thing but privately believe just the opposite. Obviously, there had been some deception going on, but it wasn't coming from the creationists.

When Dr. Patterson was asked about his comment above, he said that it had been taken out of context. However, his explanation just doesn't jive with the facts. The context of his remarks came from the question that Sunderland had posed to him; "Why didn't you show even one single picture of any proposed transitional form anywhere in your book".

Evolutionists may complain that creationists misquote them, but the facts point to a different conclusion. Truth always triumphs in the end.

Jim Levick