

Radiometric Dating

The Theory of Evolution requires huge time frames because everything had to come about by natural processes (no God allowed). The public is told that Radiometric Dating is an exact science and the millions and billions of years of evolutionary history are beyond dispute.

There are three major assumptions that must be true for radiometric dating to be valid. Let's look at just one of these for now. If you want to know how old a rock is, you must know when it was born (formed). In most cases no one was there, so the clock consists of one isotope changing into another. The assumption that is made is that "the clock was set to zero when the material formed." This means that only the parent isotope was there and none of the daughter isotope. However, the literature abounds with cases where this is not the case. When magma comes out of a volcano in Hawaii and a piece of the newly formed rock is sent to a laboratory for dating, it can range between a few million to several hundred million years old. Was the clock set to zero, obviously not!

Science cannot ignore observational evidence. A scientific dating method should tell us the newly formed rock is several weeks old not millions of years. When is science not science — when it doesn't work.

Jim Levick